

Global Warming of PCD's

Name: Hugh O'Connor MSC BSC C Eng.

Affiliation: TUdublin. School of Bio Sciences










Sterilisation Historically

- Originated from:
 - Steam sterilizers are derived from domestic food cookers
 - 'steam digester' invented in 1679 by Denis Papin 1679 (1647–1712)
 - Chamberlain (1851–1908), working with Louis Pasteur, was the first to use elevated pressure for sterilization purposes (1879)
 - Little happened until world war II
 - 1950 1970 research






Figure 1-5. Chamberland's Autoclave. The first pressure steam sterilizer (autoclave) was built in 1880 by Charles Chamberland, a pupil and collaborator of Louis Pasteur. It was patterned after Papin's steam "digester" and resembled a modern pressure cooker.

First vacuum assisted processes: faster and more reproducible processes

Not clear who was the first to apply vacuum assisted processes

*Jure 11, 1955


THE PHARMACEUTICAL JOURNAL

173

MODERN APPARATUS FOR STERILISATION

By J. H. BOWIE, M.B., Ch.B., M.R.C.P.E.,

Senior Lecturer, Department of Bacteriology, University of Edinburgh and Bacteriologist to Edinburgh Royal Infirmary



STIAM TO CHAMBER VALVE

VENTURI TUPE

VACUUM VALVE


DECHARGE TO

ATMOSFHERE

JACKET

JACKETED

AUTOCLAVE WITH VACUUM ATTACHMENT



AUTOCLAVE (PRESSURE COOKER TYPE)

The Technology is old but historically "saved lives"

- Mornington emigration station, South Australia
- Cholera, Plague and Typhoid were that main pathogens
- Autoclave manufactured in 1917



Parametric release and PCD's

 Parametric release is a system of release that gives the assurance that the product has achieved the intended quality(STERILE)

 A Process Challenge Device (PCD) is a test device intended to provide a challenge to the sterilization process that is equal to or greater than the challenge posed by the most difficult item routinely processed. In other words, a PCD is used to confirm that a sterilizer has effectively sterilized ALL items processed in that cycle?



History of Vacuum assisted Sterilisers

- Not clear who was the first to apply vacuum assisted processes
- Reason to apply vacuum:
 - Time
 - Reproducibility of processes
 - Sterilized devices less time to elevated temperatures

Sterilisation conditions established

Current times are first specified in:

- Precht JCH. Tempertur und Leben. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1955.
- Perkins JJ. Principles and Methods of Sterilization. Charles C Thomas, Springfield (IL), 1956.

Time [min]	Temperature [°C]			
2	132			
8	125			
12	121			
18	118			
30	116			
Experiments performed in aqueous environment				

Specified are:

Time – temperature combinations

Pressure is not mentioned!

Working Party on Pressure Steam Sterilizers of the Medical Research Council. Sterilisation by steam under increased pressure. The Lancet, 273:425–435, 1959.

It must be emphasised that this does not mean that only 95% of the air need be removed from the steriliser at the outset, for the steam entering a mass of cotton will push the air ahead of it to form a central "bubble " of nearly pure air, which is very slow to diffuse out. Such a bubble will prevent sterilisation of the dressings in contact with it.


In summary:

- Time temperature combinations
- Largely only textiles and non hollow instrument
- Steam composition in the load contains less than 5 % NCGs


Steam sterilisation conditions (from literature)


- Steam: high amount of water vapour, low amount of NCGs
 - On all locations in load NCG < 5%
 - In the steriliser chamber (free space) << 5 %
- Aimed time temperature combination
 - On all locations in the load.
 - This is what most PCD's are aiming to prove Time, Temperature and air removal

Current sterilisers with on-board gadgets (IMS etc)



Appendages are here handled as part of the sterilizer. E.g., steam supply and vacuum pump Note . Could be integral Steam Generator/Boiler **Essential MEASURING components in** compliance with EN285(2015) 1:Air detector/Equivalent: 2:condense sampling points **3:Independent Management System** 4:Integrated device proving air removal 5:Independent steam penetration device 6: 4D Sensors(interfaced with traceability 7:Energy sustainability 8:Remote monitoring 9: Capuchino/Coffee maker 11





Success of a process:

- Steriliser
 - Includes Services such as:
 - Steam supply
 - Vacuum pump(chilled)
 - Support Engineering
 - Proven Validation(IQ/OQ/PQ)
- Process
- Load
- Loading pattern
- Wrapping

Process
Challenge
Device>Device
challenge


Many instructions for use (IFU) and ISO Standards that were once short and easy to understand are now rigorous, time intensive, and difficult to measure.


This increase in complexity in IFUs/ISO's, tied with other environmental challenges such as staffing shortages, changing guidelines, and lack of control over the operating room's pre-cleaning quality, makes staying compliant with IFUs more difficult than ever. Robotic, Ophthalmic, Ocular laparoscopic and Intricate MIS sets.

So Much Guidance, like a Rainstorm of Standards




What do we mean by PCD's?





PCD's in Sets to Compare and Contrast.





Does the PCD guarantee 100% sterile load?


This is the Job of the PCD/BI's

- A PCD is designed to be more challenging for the parameters to be met compared to the other packages inside the sterilizer.
- In other words, if the PCD passes then all the other packages have most certainly met the parameters of sterilization. (Time, Temperature, Pressure and saturated steam (<3.5% per 100ml condense?)
- A PCD is a quality assurance device offering a challenge to the testing of sterilization to regularly monitor the validity of sterilization(EN 285:2016)

How does a PCD Pass

- That is answered by what is placed inside the PCD.
- There must be a measurable component placed inside every PCD(CI/BI (*G.Stearothermopilus*) .
- An evidence-based and scientifically proven testing apparatus is used to react to sterilization variables
- There are two scientific tests placed inside the PCD, a chemical indicator (CI) and a biological indicator (BI).
- PCD stated parameters should be achieved(challenge
 than the most difficult to sterilise item?

Are these results Reliable, Repeatable and consistent?



Is the PCD a false positive or False negative?

- A false positive is an outcome where the test incorrectly predict a positive outcome!
- 1. 134 C for 3.5 minutes and sterilisation achieved
- 2. The test result (PCD) incorrectly classifies the result as positive (sterilisation parameters achieved) when this is not confirmed

- A false negative is an outcome where the test incorrectly predict a negative outcome!
- 1. 134 C for 3.5 minutes and sterilisation achieved
- 2. The test result (PCD) incorrectly indicates the condition being tested for is not present when the condition (sterilisation) parameters is confirmed.

In Surface Steam Sterilization There is a Fundamental Problem


If you don't get the air out (*of the chamber and load*).....



.....youcan't get the steam in!



What we need to monitor and how to ensure effective sterilization




Will the PCD alert issues in Porous Loads?


Were Residual Air Locates in Packs and.

- Prevents steam penetration.
- > Resulting in incomplete sterilization.

> Same applies to lumened instruments.



Sterilisation phases



Sterilization is affected by small changes

Sterilizer (lack of vacuum cooling water)


Process (wrong cycle chosen)

Load (new set, not validated)

Loading pattern
(Heavy sets on top,
light sets on
bottom)


Wrapping (Micro Biological Barrier) (Humid load effect tape adhesion)

Change: Load from non hollow instruments to hollow instruments




Action:

To establish steam sterilization conditions on all surfaces Process from gravity to fractionated vacuum process



Difficult instrument for air removal



What the Standards say, e.g.: 134 °C process (EN285:2015 and ISO17665-1:2006)

location						EN285: 2015 clause no
In chamber in load	134 °C	≤	T	≤	137 °C	
In chamber free space			NCG	$\leq V_{NC}$	$V_{100mlcondensate}$	8.1 and 13.3.1
In load			Water vapour	>	$92\% V_{Water}$ $vapor \cup V_{100mlsteam}$	8.2
			t	\geq	180 s	
L	Load dry after the process (ISO17665-1:2006)					

16/02/2022 vdo


We want every cycle with Time, Pressure, Temperature and NCG'S


1:We want every cycle with Time, Pressure, Temperature and NCG'S measured?

2:Summary printout measure NCG at 0.41% → 0.75%

3:For optimal steam penetration and processing results, steam should be "saturated" and consist of a Dryness factor not<95%/per/volume

Local sterilizer id: **PASS** User: TEST VESSEL Start date / time: 2022/09/12 13:39:56 Process number: Program: 134 °C TEST VESSEL 135.1 °C Sterilization temperature Holding time duration: 04:01 (mm:ss) Tmin and Tmax during holding phase: 135.0 / 135.2 °C Process number checked pmin and pmax during holding phase: 311.1 / 312.6 kPa Proces duration 00:21:28 (hh:mm:ss) Load release OK NCG fraction (gas to condensate) 0.41 % (min) - 0.75 % (max) Load release NOT OK T(theor) during holding phase: 134.9 °C







Preferred method <u>Direct</u> on the chamber which does not interfere with calibration 1:NEED A SILVER **BULLET** 2: May already exist but needs widespread **Testing** 3: EN/ISO Working party need to consider alternative technologies

Summary of PCD's and solutions

- There needs to be something physically inside the sterilizer to alert us that the sterilization cycle met the three sterilization parameters (time, temperature, and pressure and no NCG's).
- Even better if it exceeded all mandated process parameters.
- Conclusion The applied parametric release method for every load leads to a higher safety for staff and patients in hospitals, more insight in steam sterilization, reduction of the use of resources an increased sustainability.

